

Let's Gc Secret L Legal Adı Legal Adı Why Marn Special Qı Bridal Fash A Gentlema How to Snaş Sacred Cerei Religious Disp Why Quarrel? An Engliet P Let's Go Back in Time A Gentleman Speaks How to Snag a Baronet Sacred Ceremony Relígious Disputes An English Bride

## Legal Advice

## Marriage Act of 1753

As we can see from these case studies, by mid-century our country was much in need of the guidance of a collective definition of marriage set forth by the government. The Marriage Act of 1753, presented by Attorney General Ryder to the House of Commons proposed the following: Marriage must take place with banns and an officially purchased marriage license (the banns being read publicly in church three consecutive Sundays prior to the wedding), the two parties must receive parental permission if under age (under 21), the wedding must be recorded in the Marriage Register with the signatures of both parties, witnesses,



and the minister, and it must occur before witnesses and an authorized clergyman. Under this act, verbal promises were no longer considered binding.17 Obviously, this act also tried to do away with clandestine marriages It should also be noted that under this law, even though a man and woman wishing to marry only have to receive parental permission if they are underage, parents still have a profound influence on choice of spouse, as they

have the power to withhold property, favors, and good will if they do not approve of their child's choice. 18 This is of utmost importance to remember, ladies, as you consider the men available on the marriage market. Do you really want to be reduced to doing your own cooking, to having only one or two servants, to giving up your winters in London and Bath?

## What the Act Means for Us

We have seen how the Marriage Act sought to prevent clandestine marriages by making them illegal. Therefore, we hope that now members of the elevated classes are less likely to marry people of the lower classes.  $\underline{19}$  This is especially true for those underage members of the gentry, who must receive parental permission to marry. It is unlikely that any sane parent would permit such an unfruitful match. The higher up on the social scale you are, the more power your parents can exert over you.20Ladies, this consequence carries both good and bad news for our sex. It both widens and narrows the marriage market for us. It widens the market because if less men of our rank are marrying lower class women, that means that there are more upper class men available for us to marry. On the other hand, it means that our choices for husbands are restricted to a very narrow category of men. Just as it has become increasingly difficult for upper class

men to "marry down," it has also become near impossible for us to marry men outside of our rank.

Ladies, we all of course know the importance of holding onto our virginity until we enter wedlock. We can look to Pamela (that paragon of virtue) of Richardson's popular novel of the same name to remind ourselves of the importance of remaining virtuous, and to find a role model for our own behavior. Since the adoption of the Marriage Act, we need to be even more careful about protecting that precious jewel we call virginity. We can no longer rely on simple verbal promises of marriage as binding, and therefore must preserve our virtue until we have followed the due process of marriage as set forth in the Act. Our virginity is more prized now than ever.21 If we "yield to [our] lovers on a promise, [we] are ruined." 22 We also must remember that we are financially independent on men. We must preserve our precious jewel so that men will want to marry us, so that we are not left destitute.23 If you would like to learn more about this important topic, you may consider referring to conduct manuals such as "A Father's Legacy to His Daughters" by Reverend John Gregory, "A View of the Principles and Conduct Prevalent Among Women of Rank and Fortune," and "A Letter of Genteel and Moral Advice" by Wetenhall. You may also wish to consult our article on conduct manuals entitled "How to Snag a Baronet."

Despite the fact that this act does pose some problems for us as gentlewomen in English society, we must consider that it may improve the productivity and moral character of our great nation of Britain. This act will help us to manage our population, and therefore to increase our wealth as well.24 We hope that the Marriage Act will promote the kind of respectable marriages among the poor in which children will be produced that can be industrious, honest workers for our nation. As the founders of the act have stated: "propagation of the species and the good of society are the two great ends we should have in view when we make any laws relating to marriage...and without a continual supply of industrious and laborious poor, no nation can long exist,

which supply can be got only by promoting marriage among such people." But as James Stueart explained, the Marriage Act sought to promote a certain type of marriage: "children produced from parents who are able to maintain them and bring them up to a way of getting bread



for themselves, do really multiply and serve the state. ... when marriage is contracted without these requisites for multiplication, it produces [mere] procreation." $\underline{25}$  We also need to remember that

under the Marriage Act, anyone getting married must purchase a marriage license. The money paid for the stamp duty on marriage licenses and certificates contributes to the prosperity of our great state.  $\underline{26}$ 

As our century comes to a close, we can recognize a trend in our society spanning across art, literature, commerce, politics, and the family: a focus on the individual. But this growing emphasis on the well-being of the individual is at odds with many of the values which helped create the Marriage Act.27 As we know, the Act encourages the type of marriages which improve the moral character of our nation. Keeping this in mind, we should also realize that despite the recent emphasis on the individual, we should not only think of our own happiness when entering into a marriage, but also the good of the larger society, as encouraged by Dr. Gally: "... yet still it is contrary to the fundamental Laws of Society, that the gratifying of the private Whims and Fancies of a few should be preferr'd to the real and general Good of Society."28 We should also remember that "Society gives its Consent to Marriages only when those Circumstances and Conditions are observ'd, which it has thought proper to appoint." 29 Of course it is important to be happy in marriage, we should consider how our choices affect the happiness of others.

This site was completed December 08, 2000, at the University of Michigan Any Questions? E-mail: <u>englishbride@umich.edu</u>